

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/944,233	MODEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brenda A Lamb	1734	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Brenda A Lamb.

(3) _____.

 (2) Attorney Krista Weber Powell.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 December 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Attorney Powell agreed to changes in the claims in order to obviate 112 rejections and place the application in condition for allowance. Examiner Lamb and Attorney Powell agreed on a proposed drawing change to depict the claimed vacuum in Figure 18. Examiner Lamb indicated that the proposed drawing correction filed by applicant on 10/01/2004 would not be entered.